RESEARCH ARTICLE

The Movement Disorder Society Nonmotor Rating Scale: Initial Validation Study

K. Ray Chaudhuri, DSc, FRCP, MD,^{1*} Anette Schrag, FRCP, PhD,² Laniel Weintraub, MD,^{3,4} Alexandra Rizos, MSc,¹ Carmen Rodriguez-Blazquez, PhD,⁵ Eugenia Mamikonyan, MS,³ and Pablo Martinez-Martin, MD, PhD⁵

¹King's College London, Department of Neurosciences, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience and Parkinson Foundation Centre of Excellence, King's College Hospital, Denmark Hill, London, UK

²Department of Clinical and Movement Neurosciences, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK

³Department of Psychiatry and Neurology, Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA ⁴Parkinson's Disease Research, Education and Clinical Center (PADRECC), Corporal Michael J. Crescenz Veterans Affairs Medical Center,

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

⁵National Center of Epidemiology and CIBERNED, Carlos III Institute of Health, Madrid, Spain

ABSTRACT: Background: The Movement Disorder Society–sponsored Nonmotor Rating Scale is an update of the existing Parkinson's disease Nonmotor Symptoms Scale modified to address some limitations in Nonmotor Symptoms Scale scoring, structure, and symptom coverage.

Methods: PD patients were recruited from movement disorder centers in an international, multicenter study. The Movement Disorder Society Nonmotor Rating Scale, consisting of 13 domains plus a subscale for nonmotor fluctuations, was rater administered, along with the Nonmotor Symptoms Scale and other clinical assessments. Standard reliability and validity testing were conducted.

Results: Four hundred and two PD patients were recruited (mean age \pm standard deviation, 67.42 \pm 9.96 years; mean age at PD onset \pm standard deviation, 59.27 \pm 10.67 years; median Hoehn and Yahr stage 2 (interquartile range 2–3). Data quality was satisfactory for all Movement Disorder Society Nonmotor Rating Scale domains except sexual (6.7% missing data). There were no floor or ceiling effects for the Movement Disorder Society Nonmotor Rating Scale and nonmotor fluctuations total score; domains had no ceiling effects, but some floor

effects (13.5%-83.5%). The Movement Disorder Society Nonmotor Rating Scale and nonmotor fluctuations total score internal consistency were acceptable (average Cronbach's alpha, 0.66 and 0.84, respectively); interrater reliability was excellent (intraclass correlation coefficient, >0.95); for test-retest reliability, the intraclass correlation coefficient was 0.84 for the Movement Disorder Society Nonmotor Rating Scale and 0.70 for Movement Disorder Society nonmotor fluctuations total score, and precision was excellent for the Movement Disorder Society Nonmotor Rating Scale (standard error of measurement, 25.30) and fair for nonmotor fluctuations (standard error of measurement, 7.06). Correlations between Movement Disorder Society Nonmotor Rating Scale score and the corresponding Nonmotor Symptoms Scale and Movement Disorder Society UPDRS scores were high. There were no significant sex or age effects. The Movement Disorder Society Nonmotor Rating Scale score increased with increasing PD duration, disease severity, and PD medication dose (all P < 0.001).

Conclusions: The Movement Disorder Society Nonmotor Rating Scale is a valid measure for measuring the burden of a wide range of Nonmotor Rating Scale scores,

Correction added on October 7, 2019, after first online publication: The Movement Disorder Society Nonmotor Rating Scale has been added to the end of the article as an Appendix with in-text citation added to the article text.

*Correspondence to: Professor K. Ray Chaudhuri, King's College London, Department of Neuroscience, Denmark Hill, London SE5 9RS, UK; E-mail: ray.chaudhuri@kcl.ac.uk

K Ray Chaudhuri, Anette Schrag, and Daniel Weintraub contributed equally to this article.

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: The project was supported by a 2-stage academic peer reviewed grant from the International Parkinson's and Movement Disorder's Society (IPMDS), as well as the Clinical Research Network (CRN), London South and London North Thames, National Institute of Health Research (for the UK sites).

Funding agencies: The project was supported by a 2-stage academic peer reviewed grant from the International Parkinson's and Movement Disorder's Society (IPMDS), as well as the Clinical Research Network (CRN), London South and London North Thames, National Institute of Health Research (for the UK sites).

Received: 25 May 2019; Revised: 2 August 2019; Accepted: 23 August 2019

Published online 00 Month 2019 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com). DOI: 10.1002/mds.27862

including nonmotor fluctuations, in PD patients. © 2019 International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society **Key Words:** movement disorders; nonmotor fluctuations; nonmotor symptoms; Parkinson's disease; scales

Nonmotor symptoms (NMSs) collectively have emerged as key features of Parkinson's disease (PD), evident from the prodromal period to the palliative stage.¹ Measurement of individual NMSs in PD and their overall burden has been made possible by the development and validation of instruments such as the Nonmotor Symptoms Scale (NMSS).² Assessment of NMSs in PD are now key to value-based health care and recommended by patient-led organizations and the International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society (IPMDS).³ The availability of the NMSS has also allowed NMSs to be assessed in many clinical trials.⁴⁻¹² The impact of NMS burden on quality of life across all stages of PD is now well established, and the NMSS has been used in PD subtyping studies.^{13,14}

The NMSS was developed approximately 15 years ago. Since then some deficiencies in NMSS scoring and structure have been noted (eg, grouping of items such as depression, anxiety, and apathy in the same domain, as well as sleep disorders and fatigue), there was recognition of limited coverage of crucial cognitive deficits, and there was lack of assessment of more recently described NMSs (eg, nonmotor fluctuations [NMFs] and impulse control disorders).

The issues listed above led to the development and validation of a new, updated rater-administered scale, the IPMDS Nonmotor Rating Scale (MDS-NMS), based on the NMSS and supported by the IPMDS. Data on acceptability and reliability of the preliminary version of the MDS-NMS in a study population of neurologists, PD patients and healthy controls as part of a cognitive pretesting study have been reported.¹⁵ Here we report the clinimetric properties of the MDS-NMS from a formal validation study.

Methods

Study Design and Patients

This was an international multicenter cross-sectional study. English-speaking patients with a diagnosis of PD based on MDS criteria¹⁶ were included. Exclusion criteria were parkinsonism because of other neurode-generative diseases or secondary causes, moderate or greater cognitive impairment, defined as Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)¹⁷ score < 21,¹⁸ and active medical or psychiatric disorders or treatment that precluded accurate assessments. Patients were recruited from 6 movement disorders units in England (n = 5) and the United States (n = 1) from October 2016 to

September 2018. Patients provided their own answers to questions, and no informed others were involved in the validation.

Sample Size

A sample size of 400 allowed for factor analysis and provided a sufficient number of cases for other aspects of instrument validation.¹⁹⁻²¹

Assessments

In addition to collecting sociodemographic data, the following rating scales were administered:

1. MDS-NMS (see Appendix): After the pilot study,¹⁵ the final version of the MDS-NMS has 52 items, grouped according to clinical content into 13 domains: (1) depression (5 items), (2) anxiety (4 items), (3) apathy (3 items), (4) psychosis (4 items), (5) impulse control and related disorders (4 items), (5) cognition (6 items), (7) orthostatic hypotension (2 items), (8) urinary (3 items), (9) sexual (2 items), (10) gastrointestinal (4 items), (11) sSleep and wakefulness (6 items), (12) pain (4 items), and (13) other (5 items; unintentional weight loss, decreased smell, physical fatigue, mental fatigue, and excessive sweating). Items are scored for *frequency* (from 0 [never] to 4 [majority of time]) and severity (from 0 [not present] to 4 [severe]), which are multiplied to generate the item total score. Scores for each domain and the total rating scale (maximum, 832 points) are calculated by summing the corresponding items.

The NMF subscale has 8 items: depression, anxiety, thinking or cognitive abilities, bladder symptoms, restlessness, pain, fatigue, and excessive sweating. Each item is scored for typical *degree of change* from "on" to "off" periods, from 0 (no change) to 4 (large). The sum of degree of change for the 8 items is multiplied by the amount of *time spent* in the "off" state with NMSs, which ranges from 1 (rarely) to 4 (majority of time).²² Maximum possible score is 128.

2. NMSS: Composed of 30 items, grouped into 9 domains (cardiovascular, sleep/fatigue, mood/apathy, perceptual problems/hallucinations, attention/memory, gastrointestinal tract, urinary, sexual function, and miscellaneous). Item scores for severity (from 0 to 3) are multiplied by scores for frequency (from 1 to 4), reaching a maximum item score of 12 (range, 0–12). Total score for domains and the full scale are obtained by sum of the corresponding items (0–360 points for total score).²³

3. MoCA: For global cognition.¹⁷

4. MDS-Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS): The MDS-UPDRS includes 4 parts: part I, Nonmotor Experiences of Daily Living (M-EDL); part II, motor experiences of daily living (M-EDL); part III, motor examination (ME); and part IV, motor complications (MCompl). In addition, it contains the Hoehn and Yahr scale (HY), a tool for grading severity of PD.²⁴

5. Clinical Impression of Severity Index for PD (CISI-PD): A rater-based global severity assessment specific for PD, with 4 items (motor signs, disability, cognition, and complications), each rated from 0 (normal) to 6 (very severe/severely disabled).²⁵

6. Patient Global Impression of Severity (PGIS): A selfassessed severity rating scored from 0 (no symptoms) to 5 (very severe).^{26,27}

7. Information on current PD treatment was obtained. Levodopa-equivalent daily dose (LEDD) was calculated. 28

Procedures

The study was approved by the institutional review boards of the participant centers, and the study conducted according to Good Clinical Practice.²⁹ Patients meeting inclusion criteria signed informed consent before study participation. Assessments were performed during the "on" state when possible. All patients completed the MDS-NMS at baseline, a subset of 123 patients completed retest evaluation within 7–14 days of baseline, and a subset of 164 patients underwent interrater evaluation. Patient assessments between US and UK clinics were harmonized.

Data Analysis

Data were stored and analyzed centrally at the National Center of Epidemiology (Madrid, Spain). Descriptive statistics were used to describe sample characteristics and assessment scores. The primary variables in the study had nonnormal distribution (Shapiro-Francia test, all <0.001). After checking for missing data (acceptable, <5%),³⁰ the following clinimetric properties were assessed:

1. Acceptability: Floor and ceiling effect (satisfactory threshold, $\leq 15\%$)³¹; skewness (criterion values, from -1 to +1)³²; and range of observed versus theoretical values.

2. Internal consistency: For each domain: (1) interitem correlation (standard values, 0.20-0.75)³³; (2) item homogeneity coefficient (standard, 0.15 for broad domains)³⁴; (3) corrected item-total correlation (standard, ≥ 0.20)³⁰; and (4) Cronbach's alpha (standard, ≥ 0.70).³⁰

3. Reproducibility: Test-retest (baseline and 7–14 days later) and interrater (2 raters) reliability were analyzed with percentage of agreement and weighted kappa (kappa_w) with quadratic weights for items and

intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC, 1-, and 2-way, random effect) for domains and total scores. Kappa values > 0.60 (substantial agreement)³⁵ and ICC $\ge 0.70^{30}$ were deemed satisfactory.

4. Precision: Estimated by standard error of measurement (SEM) based on agreement in test-retest, according to the formula $SEM = SD_{\text{pooled}} * \sqrt{(1 - r_{xx})}$, where $SD_{\text{pooled}} = \sqrt{(SD_1^2 + SD_2^2)/2}$ and r_{xx} are the ICC of the

TABLE 1. Descriptive statistics of the sample

	n	%
Sex		
Men	250	62.2
Women	152	37.8
Civil status		
Singled	52	12.94
Married	297	73.88
Widowed	29	7.21
Divorced	24	5.97
Activity:		
Employee	91	22.7
Retired/pensioner	295	73.6
Housewife	2	0.5
Other	13	3.2
	Mean (SD)	Range
Age	67.42 (9.96)	35–93
Age at onset	59.27 (10.67)	26-93
Disease duration	8.20 (5.93)	0–35
LEDD	735.29 (554.46)	0–3180
Education (years)	15.11 (3.80)	4-30
MoCA total score	26.74 (2.48)	21-30
NMSS	- (-)	
Domain 1. Cardiovascular	1.25 (2.33)	0–18
Domain 2. Sleep/fatigue	7.85 (8.36)	0-48
Domain 3. Mood/apathy	5.89 (9.39)	0-51
Domain 4. Perceptual	1.04 (2.75)	0-21
problems/hallucinations		
Domain 5. Attention/memory	4.29 (5.87)	0–30
Domain 6. Gastrointestinal	3.78 (5.22)	0-30
Domain 7. Urinary	6.45 (7.86)	0-36
Domain 8. Sexual	3.30 (6.16)	0-24
Domain 9. Miscellaneous	7.39 (7.53)	0-36
Total score	41.39 (35.20)	0-197
MDS-UPDRS	11.00 (00.20)	0 107
Part I	10.58 (6.72)	0–35
Part II	12.12 (8.16)	0-38
Part III	29.45 (13.73)	0–30 3–76
Part IV	3.15 (3.73)	0–19
Patient Global Impression of Severity	5.15 (5.75)	0-13
Normal	5	1.3
Minimal/mild	256	65.0
Moderate	112	05.0 28.4
	21	
Severe/very severe CISI-PD		5.3 0–21
UUI-FU	6.91 (3.64)	0-21

SD, standard deviation; LEDD, levodopa-equivalent daily doses; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; NMSS, Nonmotor Symptoms Scale; MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorders Society Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale; CISI-PD, Clinical Impression of Severity Index Parkinson's Disease. test-retest. SEM values lower than half SD_{pooled} were considered acceptable (ICC ≥ 0.75).

5. Hypotheses testing: For convergent validity, we hypothesized that MDS-NMS domains would be highly associated (Spearman rank correlation coefficient value, $r_S > 0.50$)³⁶ with corresponding components of the MDS-UPDRS part 1 and NMSS and moderate or weak correlation ($r_S = 0.20$ –0.50) with other PD severity measures. The known-groups validity of the MDS-NMS and NMF was tested by determining the difference in total scores for subgroups based on sex, age, HY, PGIS, PD duration, and LEDD (the latter 2 groups stratified by tertiles).

Results

Cohort Characteristics

The sample included 402 PD patients, 234 (58.2%) from England and 168 (41.8%) from the United States. Of these 62.2% were men and had mean age \pm SD of 67.42 \pm 9.96 years and an average age at PD onset \pm SD of 59.3 \pm 10.7 years. Median HY stage was 2 (interquartile range 2–3; range, 1–4). Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics are detailed in Table 1.

Data Quality and Acceptability

Table 2 shows the data quality and acceptability statistics of the total score and each domain; for the NMF subscale, results are presented for both the total sample and the subset of patients who reported NMF (n = 165). The

mean \pm SD MDS-NMS total score was 79.33 \pm 65.87), observed range of 0–334. Fully computable data were 92.8% for the MDS-NMS total score and 99.8% to 100% for all domains except sexual dysfunction, which had missing data for 6.7% of patients. There were missing data for 1.2% of patients with NMF on that subscale. The MDS-NMS and the NMF total scores showed no significant floor effects (0.3% and 3.7%, respectively) or ceiling effects. For individual domains, there were no ceiling effects, but floor effects ranged from a low of 13.5% (sleep and wakefulness) to a high of 83.5% (impulse control and related disorders). As a whole, there was a positive skewness that was higher than the standard, mirroring the floor effect.

Reliability

Internal consistency

Internal consistency results are shown in Table 3. Some items in 5 MDS-NMS domains and in the NMF subscale had an interitem (within-domain) correlation less than the 0.20 standard value, but the item homogeneity coefficient was more than the 0.15 threshold value for all domains except impulse control and related disorders. Only 3 of 52 items showed a corrected item-total domain correlation below the standard criterion. Average Cronbach's alpha was 0.66 for domains and 0.84 for the NMF subscale.

Test-retest reliability

Test-retest agreement was >95% for 39 of 52 items on the MDS-NMS and for 5 of 8 items on the NMF

TABLE 2. Data quality and acceptability of the MDS-NMS domains and total score

	Missing	Mean	Median	SD	Skewness	Min	Max	Floor (%)	Ceiling (%
Total sample ($n = 402$)									
A. Depression	1	5.76	1	10.60	3.00	0	72	43.6	0
B. Anxiety	0	5.95	2	8.45	2.28	0	54	32.8	0
C. Apathy	0	4.00	0	7.12	2.28	0	36	53.0	0
D. Psychosis	0	1.64	0	4.01	4.06	0	36	68.9	0
E. IC and related disorders	1	0.99	0	3.09	4.39	0	25	83.5	0
F. Cognition	0	9.57	5	11.92	1.83	0	66	19.9	0
G. Orthostatic hypotension	0	2.24	0	4.26	2.66	0	24	58.7	0
H. Urinary	0	7.50	4	9.23	1.80	0	48	25.9	0.8
I. Sexual	27	4.48	0	8.01	2.01	0	32	60.0	2.9
J. Gastrointestinal	1	6.09	4	7.83	2.00	0	47	27.9	0
K. Sleep and wakefulness	1	11.08	8	11.34	1.78	0	76	13.5	0
L. Pain	0	8.05	5	9.44	1.75	0	53	24.1	0
M. Other	0	13.09	12	11.92	0.97	0	56	19.9	0
MDS-NMS total score	29	79.33	61	65.87	1.33	0	334	0.3	0
NMF Change	2	3.28	0	5.33	1.85	0	30	58.8	0
Time in "off"	1	0.86	0	1.08	1.01	0	4	53.6	2.2
NMF total score	2	6.87	0	13.17	2.73	0	88	59.3	0
Sample restricted to patients wit	h fluctuations (i	n = 165)							
NMF change	1	8.00	7	5.61	1.03	1	30	6.7	0.6
Time in "off"	1	1.92	2	0.83	0.73	1	4	32.9	5.5
NMF total score	2	16.87	12	16.06	1.71	1	88	3.7	0.0

SD, standard deviation; IC, impulse control; NMF, nonmotor fluctuations.

Domain	Interitem correlation	Item homogeneity coefficient	Item-total correlation	Cronbach's alpha		
A. Depression	0.40-0.67	0.51	0.63–0.72	0.86		
B. Anxiety	0.22-0.58	0.38	0.43-0.68	0.73		
C. Apathy	0.37-0.58	0.46	0.30-0.64	0.68		
D. Psychosis	0.19-0.48	0.33	0.38-0.64	0.72		
E. IC and related disorders	0.00-0.21	0.12	0.05-0.31	0.32		
F. Cognition	0.25-0.50	0.40	0.47-0.61	0.81		
G. Orthostatic hypotension	0.62	0.62	0.62 ^b	0.76		
H. Urinary	0.36-0.43	0.39	0.53-0.61	0.74		
I. Sexual	0.57	0.57	0.57 ^b	0.75		
J. Gastrointestinal	0.06-0.27	0.16	0.21-0.36	0.45		
K. Sleep and wakefulness	0.08-0.37	0.19	0.27-0.50	0.61		
L. Pain	0.11-0.38	0.22	0.24-0.50	0.59		
M. Other	0.01-0.53	0.19	0.12-0.47	0.50		
Nonmotor Fluctuations Subscale ^a						
NMF change	0.07-0.52	0.19	0.22-0.54	0.84		

TABLE 3. F	Reliability	of the	MDS-NMS	domains
------------	-------------	--------	---------	---------

ICC, Intraclass correlation coefficient; IC, impulse control; NMF, nonmotor fluctuations.

^aIn patients with fluctuations (n = 165). b = These domains have only two items; therefore, values are like inter-item correlation.

subscale. Weighted kappa index ranged from 0.26 to 0.86 for MDS-NMS items and from 0.48 to 0.68 for the NMF subscale items (Table 4). ICC was 0.84 for MDS-NMS and 0.70 for the MDS-NMF subscale and ranged from 0.50 to 0.85 for domains.

Precision

The SEM for the MDS-NMS total score was 25.30, lower than the corresponding $\frac{1}{2}SD_{\text{pooled}}$ (31.63), demonstrating good precision. However, the SEM for the NMS subscale was 7.06, slightly higher than the corresponding $\frac{1}{2}SD_{\text{pooled}}$ (6.45); see Table 4. SEM values for individual domains are also presented in Table 4.

Interrater reliability

Interrater agreement was >99% for all but 1 item. Weighted kappa index ranged from 0.89 to 1.00, and ICC ranged from 0.97 to 1.00 (Table 4). Similar results were obtained for the NMF subscale (agreement > 99%; weighted kappa, 0.90–0.99; ICC, 1.00).

Convergent Validity

MDS-NMS domains correlated 0.57–0.87 with the corresponding NMSS domains (Table 5). The correlation between MDS-NMS total score and MDS-UPDRS

	Test-retest (n = 123)		Interrater (n =	= 164)	Precision	
Domain	Kappa _w	ICC	Kappa _w	ICC	SEM	
A. Depression	0.45–0.74	0.73	0.91–1.0 ^b	0.99	4.91	
B. Anxiety	0.39-0.66	0.66	0.93-0.99	0.99	4.53	
C. Apathy	0.48-0.53	0.62	0.89–1.0 ^b	0.99	4.04	
D. Psychosis	0.26-0.68	0.66	0.98–1.0 ^b	0.99	2.35	
E. IC and related disorders	0.36-0.94	0.50	0.94–1.0 ^b	1.0	1.57	
F. Cognition	0.58-0.74	0.74	0.94-0.98	0.99	5.80	
G. Orthostatic hypotension	0.58-0.62	0.56	0.94-0.95	0.97	2.61	
H. Urinary	0.68-0.78	0.78	0.96-0.99	0.99	4.52 ^a	
I. Sexual	0.59–0.71	0.70	0.96–1.0 ^b	0.99	4.12	
J. Gastrointestinal	0.59-0.79	0.77	0.98-0.99	0.99	3.37 ^a	
K. Sleep and wakefulness	0.56-0.74	0.81	0.94-0.99	0.97	4.74 ^a	
L. Pain	0.45-0.73	0.75	0.99	1.0	4.78 ^a	
M. Other	0.65-0.86	0.85	0.95-0.98	0.98	4.39 ^a	
MDS-NMS total score	—	0.84	_	1.0	25.30 ^a	
NMF change	0.48-0.68	0.76	0.90-0.99	0.99	2.61	
Time in off	0.70	_	0.97	—		
NMF total score	_	0.70		1.0	7.06	

TABLE 4. Reproducibility parameters of the MDS-NMS

SEM, standard error of measurement.

 $aSEM < \frac{1}{2}SD_{pooled}$

^bRounded figures when weighted kappa value was >0.999.

TABLE 5. Convergent validity of	the MDS-NMS
--	-------------

5	2	
	MDS-NMS	Spearman
MDS-UPDRS	domains	R
1.1 Cognitive	F. Cognition	0.50
1.2 Hallucination/psychosis	D. Psychosis	0.49
1.3 Depression	A. Depression	0.67
1.4 Anxiety	B. Anxiety	0.59
1.5 Apathy	C. Apathy	0.49
1.6 Dopamine dysregulation syndrome	E. IC and related disorders	0.31
1.7 Sleep problems	K. Sleep and wakefulness	0.54
1.8 Daytime sleepiness	K. Sleep and	0.38
1.9 Pain	wakefulness L. Pain	0.60
1.10 Urinary problems	H. Urinary	0.68
1.11 Constipation	J. Gastrointestinal	0.45
1.12 Lightheadedness	G. Orthostatic hypotension	0.61
1.13 Fatigue	M. Other	0.48
2.2 Saliva and drooling	J. Gastrointestinal	0.54
2.3 Swallowing	J. Gastrointestinal	0.44
2.9 Turning in bed	K. Sleep and	0.31
	wakefulness	
	MDS-NMS	Spearman
NMSS	domains	R
1. Cardiovascular	G. Orthostatic	0.72
	hypotension	
2. Sleep/fatigue	K. Sleep and	0.73
	wakefulness	
3. Mood/apathy	A. Depression	0.73
3. Mood/apathy	· · ·	0.04
3. Mood/apathy	B. Anxiety	0.64
or mood, apaanj	B. Anxiety C. Apathy	0.64 0.59
	C. Apathy	
4. Halluc./perceptual	,	0.59
 Halluc./perceptual Attention/memory 	C. Apathy D. Psychosis	0.59 0.57
 Halluc./perceptual Attention/memory Gastrointestinal 	C. Apathy D. Psychosis F. Cognition	0.59 0.57 0.73
 Halluc./perceptual Attention/memory Gastrointestinal Urinary 	C. Apathy D. Psychosis F. Cognition J. Gastrointestinal	0.59 0.57 0.73 0.81
4. Halluc./perceptual 5. Attention/memory 6. Gastrointestinal 7. Urinary 8. Sexual 9. Miscellaneous	C. Apathy D. Psychosis F. Cognition J. Gastrointestinal H. Urinary	0.59 0.57 0.73 0.81 0.87

MDS-NMS, Movement Disorder Society–sponsored Nonmotor Symptoms Rating Scale; MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorder Society–sponsored Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale; NMSS, Nonmotor Symptoms Rating Scale. ^aPain item from Miscellaneous domain.

Spearman rank correlation coefficients. All, P < 0.0001.

part I was 0.75 and with NMSS total score was 0.88. Correlation coefficients of MDS-NMS domains with the corresponding items of the MDS-UPDRS part I ranged between 0.31 and 0.68. There was also high correlation between NMF subscale and MDS-UPDRS motor fluctuations scores ($r_{\rm S} = 0.72$).

Correlations with other variables in the study are displayed in Supplementary Table 1S.

Known-Groups Validity

The MDS-NMS total and NMF subscale scores showed no significant differences between subgroups defined by sex or age. However, MDS-NMS score increased significantly with increasing HY stage, PD duration, LEDD, and PGIS (Kruskal-Wallis test, P < 0.001 for all); see Supplementary Table 2S.

Discussion

The results presented here represent the primary clinimetric validation of the English version of the MDS-NMS from an international multicenter study. The data indicate that the MDS-NMS has acceptable clinimetric properties to capture in a single instrument a broad range of NMSs that occur commonly in PD. The overall MDS-NMS had no ceiling or floor effects, acceptable internal consistency, and satisfactory interrater and test-retest reliability. The good reliability plus acceptable precision support its use as an outcome measure in clinical trials.

Data quality was satisfactory for all items and domains, except for the sexual domain, which had 6.7% missing data. This is not surprising, as some patients may either have believed that items regarding sexual performance did not apply to them because of a lack of sexual activity (but there was no "not applicable" response option), or they have been reluctant to answer questions about intimate sexual behavior.

For the overall MDS-NMS and the NMF subscale, there were no floor and ceiling effects, and there were no ceiling effects for any domain. The lack of ceiling effects suggests that even the highest severity of any NMS in PD will be captured by this scale. However, there were moderate to high floor effects for many domains, indicating that some NMSs, although important and requiring assessment, do not occur universally. This may currently include impulse control disorders, which perhaps are less common now given changes in PD medication prescribing practices (ie, less dopamine agonist prescribing). The floor effects observed also help to explain the high skewness values observed in domain scores and are similar to what was reported in the original NMSS validation studies.^{23,25}

Most domains (8 of 13) showed good internal consistency. The lower internal consistency in 5 domains indicates a weak relationship between items within those domains. This was expected, but we had decided in advance that it was important to group items into domains based on clinical considerations. As an example, the impulse control and related disorders domain includes gambling, punding, and dopamine dysregulation syndrome, which are distinct disorders, but also overlapping and best considered together. Similarly, the gastrointestinal domain includes dribbling of saliva and constipation, which are distinct and largely unrelated disorders. Thus, we think the results reflect the complexity of the underlying disease rather than a deficiency of the scale and again are consistent with findings reported previously for the NMSS.^{23,25}

For test-retest reliability, most of the MDS-NMS domains and the NMF showed adequate test-retest results. Five MDS-NMS domains (impulse control and related disorders, orthostatic hypotension, anxiety, apathy, and psychosis) reached ICC values under the standard 0.70, results that were slightly worse than corresponding findings for the NMSS.^{23,25} These suboptimal test-retest results for some domains may be explained, at least in part, by the short-term changes in NMSs, so test-retest variability may reflect real differences in the frequency or severity of some symptoms (52 items assessed, or 22 more than the NMSS).

The interrater reliability analyses showed excellent results, with all ICC values from 0.97 to 1. Interrater agreement was >95% in 39 of 52 items for the MDS-NMS, whereas for the NMF subscale, 5 of 8 items reached >95% of agreement. These results are indicative of excellent reproducibility of the MDS-NMS, including the NMF subscale.

The overall MDS-NMS had adequate precision. This parameter is dependent on reliability coefficient (ICC of the test-retest) and was satisfactory for the components with ICC > 0.75, thus reflecting the stability of the measure. The NMF subscale obtained a SEM value slightly higher than the criterion.

In relation to convergent validity, the MDS-NMS domains and total score correlated strongly with the corresponding elements of the NMSS and MDS-UPDRS. The NMF subscale showed a close association with the MDS-UPDRS part IV, suggesting that it is a good complement to the assessment of motor fluctuations in PD.

The MDS-NMS and NMF subscale showed no significant differences in the sample grouped by sex or age, results similar to those for the NMSS.^{23,25} A significant increase in MDS-NMS scores happened in parallel with increasing LEDD, perceived disease severity, HY stage, and PD duration, as occurred with the latter 2 with the NMSS.²⁵

Taking into account the data from the clinimetric testing essential for the evaluation of the performance of a modern scale, the MDS-NMS appears to be an effective measure for addressing the severity and frequency of a wide range of NMSs that occurs in PD. The new scale is enriched with domains to evaluate impulse control and related disorders, while adding depth to domains assessing cognition and other neuropsychiatric aspects of PD, therapeutic challenges that PD clinicians are confronted with commonly. The new NMF subscale and its satisfactory performance allow for evaluation of a distinct and important nonmotor syndrome in PD and make the instrument potentially valuable to test the efficacy of treatment for patients with fluctuations, still a major unmet need.

Several limitations have to be recognized in the present study. First, the sample included patients predominantly with mild to moderate disease severity and with at most mild cognitive deficits. Second, MDS-NMS concurrent validity with diagnostic criteria and other measures has not been tested yet. Third, sensitivity to change, either with disease progression or because of therapeutic intervention, has not been assessed. Future studies will need to address these issues, as well as validate a self-rated version of the instrument for use in clinical care and some clinical research studies.

This new scale complements the MDS-UPDRS for use in epidemiological and clinical trials, allowing users and policy makers to obtain an in-depth assessment of the effect of the disease and the impact of investigational agents. This is especially relevant as recent views suggest that motor complications in PD may be less prominent than in the past, whereas evidence for effective treatments of many NMSs in PD is still quite limited.^{37,38} In addition, we hope that the MDS-NMS will help policy makers decide on the impact of a drug on value-based health care, as well as help in creating national registries using postmarketing surveillance. Finally, in academic centers, the MDS-NMS and NMF subscale can help researchers to design clinical translational studies addressing NMSs in PD.

The need for and importance of a global measure of NMS rating in PD is evident from the widespread use of the NMSS over the past decade in clinical trials, global clinical registries, and epidemiological cohort studies in PD. Such needs are likely to grow, given that our understanding of the impact of NMSs in PD, ranging from prodromal to palliative phases, has increased substantially over time, and treatment developers are eager to explore global NMS burden as an end point in clinical trials. The MDS-NMS is well poised, offering a timely, up-to-date, and state-of-the-art option for the assessment of these issues.

Acknowledgments: The authors acknowledge grant support from the International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society to plan, develop, and validate the English version of the MDS-NMS in an international study. K.R.C. acknowledges support from the NIHR clinical research network and CRN-supported staff (Dhaval Trivedi, Aleksandra Podlewska, Corinne Borley) for data collection in NHS clinics. A.S. was supported by the National Institute for Health Research UCLH Biomedical Research Centre. D.W. acknowledges the support of the Parkinson's Disease and Movement Disorders Center at the University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, and the involvement of Dr. Jacqui Rick, Sam Rudovsky, and Benjamin Deck in the conduct of the study. The authors thank Dr. Mubasher A. Qamar for assistance in preparing the article and coordination.

This article also presents independent research part funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Mental Health Biomedical Research Centre and Dementia Unit at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London as KRC is funded by these institutions. The views expressed are those of the author and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

References

- Schapira AHV, Chaudhuri KR, Jenner P. Non-motor features of Parkinson disease. Nat Rev Neurosci 2017;18(7):435–450.
- 2. Titova N, Chaudhuri KR. Non-motor Parkinson disease: new concepts and personalised management. Med J Aust 2018;208(9):404–409.

- 3. Martinez-Martin P, Ray Chaudhuri K. Comprehensive grading of Parkinson's disease using motor and non-motor assessments: addressing a key unmet need. Expert Rev Neurother 2018;18(1):41–50.
- 4. Dafsari HS, Martinez-Martin P, Rizos A, et al. EuroInf 2: Subthalamic stimulation, apomorphine, and levodopa infusion in Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 2019;34(3):353–365.
- Poewe W, Chaudhuri KR, Bergmann L, Antonini A. Levodopacarbidopa intestinal gel in a subgroup of patients with dyskinesia at baseline from the GLORIA Registry. Neurodegener Dis 2019;9(1):39–46.
- Katzenschlager R, Poewe W, Rascol O, et al. Apomorphine subcutaneous infusion in patients with Parkinson's disease with persistent motor fluctuations (TOLEDO): a multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Neurol 2018;17(9):749–759.
- Trenkwalder C, Kies B, Rudzinska M, et al. Rotigotine effects on early morning motor function and sleep in Parkinson's disease: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study (RECOVER). Mov Disord 2011;26(1):90–99.
- Bhidayasiri R, Phokaewvarangkul O, Sringean J, et al. Evaluation of nocturnal hypokinesia in Parkinson's disease using a novel patient/proxy questionnaire and correlations with objective monitoring. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 2019;61:219–223.
- Martinez-Martin P, Leentjens AF, de Pedro-Cuesta J, Chaudhuri KR, Schrag AE, Weintraub D. Accuracy of screening instruments for detection of neuropsychiatric syndromes in Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 2016;31(3):270–279.
- Chaudhuri KR, Antonini A, Robieson WZ, Sanchez-Solino O, Bergmann L, Poewe W. Burden of non-motor symptoms in Parkinson's disease patients predicts improvement in quality of life during treatment with levodopa-carbidopa intestinal gel. Eur J Neurol 2019;26(4):581–e543.
- Schrag A, Sauerbier A, Chaudhuri KR. New clinical trials for nonmotor manifestations of Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 2015;30 (11):1490–1504.
- Martinez-Martin P, Rodriguez-Blazquez C, Kurtis MM, Chaudhuri KR. The impact of non-motor symptoms on healthrelated quality of life of patients with Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 2011;26(3):399–406.
- 13. Marras C, Chaudhuri KR. Nonmotor features of Parkinson's disease subtypes. Mov Disord 2016;31(8):1095–1102.
- Sauerbier A, Rosa-Grilo M, Qamar MA, Chaudhuri KR. Nonmotor Subtyping in Parkinson's Disease. Int Rev Neurobiol 2017;133: 447–478.
- Martinez-Martin P, Schrag A, Weintraub D, Rizos A, Rodriguez-Blazquez C, Chaudhuri KR. Pilot Study of the International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society-sponsored Non-motor Rating Scale (MDS-NMS). Mov Disord Clin Pract 2019;6(3):227–234.
- 16. Postuma RB, Berg D, Stern M, et al. MDS clinical diagnostic criteria for Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 2015;30(12):1591–1601.
- 17. Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bedirian V, et al. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. J Am Geriatr Soc 2005;53(4):695–699.
- Dalrymple-Alford JC, MacAskill MR, Nakas CT, et al. The MoCA: well-suited screen for cognitive impairment in Parkinson disease. Neurology 2010;75(19):1717–1725.
- Beavers AS, Lounsbury JW, Richards JK, Huck SW, Skolits GJ, Esquivel SL. Practical Considerations for Using Exploratory Factor Analysis in Education Research. Pract Assess Res Eval 2013;18(6).
- Terwee CB, Bot SD, de Boer MR, et al. Quality criteria were proposed for measurement properties of health status questionnaires. J Clin Epidemiol 2007;60(1):34–42.
- Hobart JC, Cano SJ, Warner TT, Thompson AJ. What sample sizes for reliability and validity studies in neurology? J Neurol 2012;259 (12):2681–2694.

- 22. Schmitt E, Krack P, Castrioto A, et al. The Neuropsychiatric Fluctuations Scale for Parkinson's Disease: A Pilot Study. Mov Disord Clin Pract 2018;5(3):265–272.
- Chaudhuri KR, Martinez-Martin P, Brown RG, et al. The metric properties of a novel non-motor symptoms scale for Parkinson's disease: Results from an international pilot study. Mov Disord 2007;22 (13):1901–1911.
- Goetz CG, Tilley BC, Shaftman SR, et al. Movement Disorder Society-sponsored revision of the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS): scale presentation and clinimetric testing results. Mov Disord 2008;23(15):2129–2170.
- Martinez-Martin P, Rodriguez-Blazquez C, Forjaz MJ, de Pedro J. The Clinical Impression of Severity Index for Parkinson's Disease: international validation study. Mov Disord 2009;24(2):211–217.
- Forkmann T, Scherer A, Boecker M, Pawelzik M, Jostes R, Gauggel SJBP. The clinical global impression scale and the influence of patient or staff perspective on outcome. BMC Psychiatry 2011;11(1):83.
- 27. Ambrosio L, Portillo MC, Rodriguez-Blazquez C, et al. Satisfaction with Life Scale (SLS-6): First validation study in Parkinson's disease population. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 2016;25:52–57.
- Tomlinson CL, Stowe R, Patel S, Rick C, Gray R, Clarke CE. Systematic review of levodopa dose equivalency reporting in Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 2010;25(15):2649–2653.
- Grimes DA, Hubacher D, Nanda K, Schulz KF, Moher D, Altman DG. The Good Clinical Practice guideline: a bronze standard for clinical research. Lancet 2005;366(9480):172–174.
- 30. Smith S, Lamping D, Banerjee S, Harwood R, Foley B. Measurement of health-related quality of life for people with dementia: development of a new instrument (DEMQOL) and an evaluation of current methodology. Health Technol Assess 2005;2005(10).
- McHorney CA, Tarlov AR. Individual-patient monitoring in clinical practice: are available health status surveys adequate? Qual Life Res 1995;4(4):293–307.
- Hays RD, Anderson R, Revicki D. Psychometric considerations in evaluating health-related quality of life measures. Qual Life Res 1993;2(6):441–449.
- RL P. Inter-item correlations. In: Michalos AC. Encyclopedia of Quality of Life and Well-Being Research. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands; 2014.
- Clark LA, Watson D. Constructing validity: Basic issues in objective scale development. Psychol Assess 1995;7(3):309–319.
- 35. Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. Biometrics 1977;33(1):159–174.
- Feeny D, Farris K, Cote I, Johnson JA, Tsuyuki RT, Eng K. A cohort study found the RAND-12 and Health Utilities Index Mark 3 demonstrated construct validity in high-risk primary care patients. J Clin Epidemiol 2005;58(2):138–141.
- Chaudhuri KR, Jenner P, Antonini A. Should there be less emphasis on levodopa-induced dyskinesia in Parkinson's disease? Mov Disord 2019;34(6):816–819.
- Seppi K, Ray Chaudhuri K, Coelho M, et al. Update on treatments for nonmotor symptoms of Parkinson's disease-an evidence-based medicine review. Mov Disord 2019;34(2):180–198.

Supporting Data

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site.

Appendix

	Inte		Parkinson and Moveme on-Motor Rating Scale (
			RATER-ADMINISTERED	VERSION			
		R	ate symptoms over pas	st 4 weeks			
Patie	nt Name or Identi	fier:					
Date:							
Resp	ondent:	Patient	Informant/Caregiver	Patient and Informant			
Patie	nt's motor state:	🗌 On	□ Off				
			SCORING				
<u>Ave</u>	rage Frequency	/ Duration:	(percentages denote day	<u>rs per week or hours per waking day)</u>			
0:	Never						
1:	Rarely	(≤10% of ti	me)				
2:	Sometimes	(11 - 25% of	f time)				
3:	Frequently	(26-50% of	f time)				
4:	Majority of time	(≥51% of ti	me)				
Ave	rage Severity:						
0:	Not present	(only if freq	luency = 0)				
1:	Minimal	(no distress	s or disturbance to patient of	or caregiver)			
2:	Mild	(minor dist	ress or disturbance to patie	ent or caregiver)			
3:	Moderate	(consideral	ble distress or disturbance	to patient or caregiver)			
4:	Severe	(major distr	ress or disturbance to patie	ent or caregiver)			
Calo	culations:						
Item	n Total	= F	requency multiplied by se	everity			
Sub	scale Total	= S	= Sum of all Item totals for that Subscale				
MDS-NMS Total Score		ore = S	= Sum of totals for Subscales A-M				

For each question use the following introduction: "How often have you..." or "How often has the patient..."

If the answer to the question is "Never", rate frequency/duration as "0", rate severity also as "0" and move on to the next question.

If the answer to the question is not "Never", then ask: "When you have had... / When the patient has had... [the symptom], how bad has it been on average?"

When answering questions about an '**increase**' or '**decrease**'/'**reduction**' in symptoms, use as your comparison point your/the patient's experiences on average as an adult prior to having Parkinson's disease.

RATER-ADMINISTERED VERSION

Rate symptoms over past 4 weeks

	Frequency (0-4)	Severity (0-4)	Frequency x severity	
A. Depression:	()		,	
1. Felt sad or depressed?				
2. Had difficulty experiencing pleasure?				
3. Felt hopeless?				
4. Had negative thoughts about yourself?				
5. Felt that life is not worth living?				
	Subscal	Subscale A Total		

B. Anxiety:

Subsc			

C. Apathy:

	Subscale C To		C Total	
3. Had a reduction in experiencing emotions?				
2. Had a reduced interest in talking to people?				
1. Had a reduced motivation to start day-to-day activities?				

D. Psychosis:

- 1. Sensed things or people in margins of visual field? (passage or presence phenomena).....
- 2. Visually misinterpreted an actual object? (illusions)
- Seen, heard, felt, tasted or smelled things that other people did not? (hallucinations)......
- 4. Believed things to be true that others did not? (e.g., delusions of persecution, jealousy or misidentification)

E. Impulse control and related disorders:

- 1. Had an increase in gambling, sexual, buying or eating behaviours?
- 2. Had an increase in other behaviours (e.g., internet use, hobbies, artistic activities, writing, hoarding)?.....
- 3. Repeatedly handled objects without any purpose? (punding)
- 4. Routinely taken more anti-parkinsonian medications than prescribed? (dopamine dysregulation syndrome).....

Subscal	e D Total	
Subscal	e E Total	

RATER-ADMINISTERED VERSION

Rate symptoms over past 4 weeks

	Frequency (0-4)	Severity (0-4)	Frequency x severity
F. Cognition:			
1. Had difficulty remembering things?			
2. Had difficulty learning new things?			
3. Had difficulty keeping focus or paying attention?			
4. Had difficulty finding words or expressing ideas?			
5. Had difficulty planning or carrying out complex tasks, not due to motor problems? (executive abilities)			
6. Had difficulty judging the position of things? (visuospatial			
abilities)	Subscal	e F Total	
	L		
G. Orthostatic hypotension:			
1. Felt lightheaded or fainted when changing position?			
2. Had dizziness or weakness on standing?		e G Total	
	Subscal	e G Total	
H. Urinary:			
1. Had an urgent need to empty bladder? (urinary urgency)			
 Had to empty bladder more than every 2 hours? (urinary frequency) 			
3. Had to empty bladder more than twice overnight? (nocturia)			
	Subscal	e H Total	
I. Sexual:			
1. Had decreased sexual drive or interest in sex?			
 Had difficulty with sexual arousal (e. g., erectile dysfunction or vaginal dryness) or sexual performance not related to motor problems (e. g., not related to Parkinson's rigidity)? 			
	Subscal	e I Total	
J. Gastrointestinal:			
1. Had any drooling of saliva?			
2. Had difficulty swallowing?			
3. Had nausea or felt sick in the stomach?			
 Had nadsea of feit slot in the stormacht movements/week)			
	Subscal	e J Total	
	L		

RATER-ADMINISTERED VERSION

Rate symptoms over past 4 weeks

	F	requency (0-4)	Severity (0-4)	Frequency x severity
K. Sleep and wakefulness:		(0-4)	(0-+)	
1. Had difficulty falling asleep or staying a	asleep? (insomnia)			
2. Acted out dreams while asleep, such a punching, or running movements? (RE				
 Dozed off or fallen asleep unintentiona (e.g., during conversation, at mealtime television; excessive daytime sleepines 	s, or while driving, watching			
4. Had an irresistible urge to move legs o lying down which improved with mover				
5. Had any involuntary jerky movements or while resting? (periodic limb movem				
6. Woken at night due to snoring, gasping				
breathing?		Subsca	e K Total	
		Cuboou		
L. Pain:				
1. Had muscle, joint or back pain?				
2. Had a deep or dull aching pain within t	he body?			
3. Had pain due to abnormal twisting mov or body, often present in the early more				
4. Had other types of pain? (e.g., nocturn	al pain, orofacial pain)			
		Subsca	e L Total	
M. Other:				
 Had an unintentional weight loss? (rate frequency as either not present (0 for severity rate 0 (only if frequency = 3 (moderate), or 4 (severe)) 	Ó), 1 (minimal), 2 (mild),			
 Had a decrease in sense of smell? (im (rate frequency as either not present (0 for severity rate 0 (only if frequency = 3 (moderate), or 4 (severe)))) or present (4); 0), 1 (minimal), 2 (mild),			
3. Felt excessively physically tired? (phys	sical fatigue)			
4. Felt excessively mentally tired? (mental	al fatigue)			
5. Had excessive sweating not related to	temperature?			
		Subsca	e M Total	
г				
	MDS-NMS TOTAL SCORE			

RATER-ADMINISTERED VERSION

Rate symptoms over past 4 weeks

MDS-NMS Non-Motor Fluctuations (NMF) Subscale

Do you / Does the patient experience changes in non-motor symptoms (as listed below) in relation to the timing of anti-parkinsonian medications (i.e., symptoms occurring or worsening during "Off" period)?



If no, MDS-NMS NMF Total Score (below) = 0

If yes, please complete the following section:

	SCORING				
Тур	Typical degree of change from "On" to "Off" period:				
0:	No change				
1:	Minimal				
2:	Small				
3:	Medium				
4:	Large				
Sub	Subscore "Change" = Sum of all "Degree of change" items				
MD	MDS-NMS NMF Total Score = Subscore "Change" multiplied by Subscore "Time"				

Degree of change (0- 4)

		•
1.	Depression (as listed in Subscale A)	
2.	Anxiety (as listed in Subscale B)	
3.	Thinking or cognitive abilities (as listed in Subscale F)	
4.	Bladder symptoms (as listed Subscale H)	
5.	Restlessness (as listed in Subscale K, item 4)	
6.	Pain (as listed in Subscale L)	
7.	Fatigue (as listed in Subscale M, items 3 and 4)	
8.	Excessive sweating (as listed in Subscale M, item 5)	

Time spent in non-motor "Off" state:

- 1: Rarely $(\leq 10\% \text{ of waking day})$
- 2: Sometimes (11-25% of waking day)
- 3: Frequently (26-50% of waking day)
- 4: Majority of time (\geq 51% of waking day)

MDS-NMS NMF Subscore "Change"

MDS-NMS NMF Subscore "Time"

MDS-NMS NMF Total Score (Subscore "Change" x "Time")

Patient Name/Identifier: _____ Date: _____

	oro Shoot		
RATER-ADMINISTERED VERSION			
Item Total = Frequency multiplied by Severity			
Subscale Total = Sum of all Iter			
MDS-NMS Total Score = Sum o	T Totals for Su	oscales A-IVI	
Respondent: 🛛 Patient 🗍 Informa	nt/Caregiver	Patient and	Informant
Patient's motor state:	C C		
	1		
A. Depression	Frequency	Severity	Total
1. Sad or depressed			
2. Experiencing pleasure			
3. Hopelessness			
4. Negative thoughts			
5. Life not worth living			
Depression Subscale Total			
B. Anxiety	Frequency	Severity	Total
1. Worried			
2. Nervous			
3. Panic or anxiety attacks			
4. Social phobia			
Anxiety Subscale Total			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
C. Apathy	Frequency	Severity	Total
1. Interest activities	Frequency	Seventy	TOLAT
2. Interest talking			
3. Emotions			
Apathy Subscale Total			
D. Psychosis	Frequency	Severity	Total
1. Passage or presence phenomena			
2. Illusions			
3. Hallucinations			
5. Delusions			
Psychosis Subscale Total			
E. Impulse control and related disorders	Frequency	Severity	Total
1. Impulse control disorders		Covering	
2. Other compulsive behaviors			
3. Punding			
4. Dopamine dysregulation syndrome			
	ubscalo Total		
Impulse Control and Related Disorders Se	ubscale Total		

Patient Name/Identifier: _____ Date: _____

F. Cognition	Frequency	Severity	Total
1. Remembering			
2. Learning new information			
3. Focus or attention			
4. Find words or express ideas			
5. Execute complex activities			
6. Judge position of things			
Cognition Subscale Total			

G. Orthostatic hypotension	Frequency	Severity	Total
1. Lightheaded or fainted			
2. Dizziness or weakness			
Orthostatic Hypotension Subscale Total			

H. Urinary	Frequency	Severity	Total
1. Urinary urgency			
2. Urinary frequency			
3. Nocturia			
Urinary Subscale Total			

I. Sexual	Frequency	Severity	Total
1. Sex drive or interest			
2. Sexual arousal or performance			
Sexual Subscale Total			

J. Gastrointestinal	Frequency	Severity	Total
1. Drooling			
2. Swallowing			
3. Nausea or sick in stomach			
4. Constipation			
Gastrointestinal Subscale Total			

K. Sleep and wakefulness	Frequency	Severity	Total
1. Falling or staying asleep			
2. Acting out dreams			
3. Dozing off			
4. Urge to move extremities			
5. Involuntary movements			
6. Snoring or difficulty breathing			
Sleep And Wakefulness Subscale Total			

Patient Name/Identifier: _____ Date: _____

L. Pain	Frequency	Severity	Total
1. Muscle, joint, back pain			
2. Deep or dull pain			
3. Abnormal twisting movements			
4. Other pain			
Pain Subscale Total			

M. Other	Frequency	Severity	Total
1. Weight loss			
2. Decreased smell			
3. Physical fatigue			
4. Mental fatigue			
5. Excessive sweating			
Other Subscale Total			

MDS-NMS TOTAL SCORE

MDS-NMS Score Sheet – Non Motor Fluctuations

Subscore "Change" = Sum of all "degree of change" items Subscore "Time" = Time spent in non-motor "Off" state MDS-NMS NMF Total Score = Subscore "Change" multiplied by Subscore "Time"

NON-MOTOR FLUCTUATIONS (optional)	Typical degree of change from "On" to "Off" period	
1. Depression		
2. Anxiety		
3. Thinking or cognitive abilities		
4. Bladder symptoms		
5. Restlessness		
6. Pain		
7. Fatigue		
8. Excessive sweating		
Subscore "Change"		

Subscore "Time"

MDS-NMS NON-MOTOR FLUCTUATIONS TOTAL SCORE

Glossary of Terms

- A. Depression: a mood disorder characterized by sustained change in emotions (sadness, decreased interest or pleasure), cognition (negative thoughts about life or self, such as hopelessness, helplessness, indecisiveness, or death or suicide ideation) or behavior (isolative, withdrawn, sleep disturbances, appetite disturbances)
- B. Anxiety: an affective disorder characterized by sustained excessive worrying which can be (1) generalized and include symptoms such as restlessness, being easily fatigued, mind going blank or trouble concentrating, irritability, and muscle tension; (2) specific anxiety or panic attacks; (3) fear of being in public (agoraphobia); or (4) fear of being in social situations (social phobia)
 Anxiety or panic attack: abrupt surge of intense fear or intense discomfort, can include shortness

of breath, heart beating fast, upset stomach, sweating, dizziness or faintness, sensation of chill or heat, or sense something bad is going to happen or even a sense of dying

- **C. Apathy**: a disorder characterized by decreased motor activity (less initiation of motor activity not due to parkinsonism), emotional expression (less emotional engagement separate from decreased facial expression due to parkinsonism) or speech (less likely to initiate or engage in conversation)
- **D. Psychosis**: a disorder characterized by changes in perception (passage or presence phenomena, illusions or hallucinations) or thought (delusions)

Passage phenomenon: visual sensation of something moving in periphery of visual field **Presence phenomenon**: visual sensation of person being in periphery of visual field **Illusions**: visual misinterpretation of an actual object

Hallucinations: a sensory (visual, auditory, taste, smell, or feeling) experience that is not real or experienced by other people

Delusions: a belief that something is true for which there is no objective evidence and which other persons do not hold true

- E. Impulse control disorders: a failure to resist an impulse or drive that leads to repeated engagement in activities that become harmful to self or others; <u>compared with pre-PD behavior</u> Hoarding: the needless collection of objects and an inability to get rid of them Punding: the needless or purposeless repetition of a simple motor activity
 Dopamine dysregulation syndrome: taking an excess (beyond what is prescribed) of Parkinson's medications for their motor or psychological effects, often with significant mood changes during "on" (irritability, hypomania) or "off" (dysphoria) states
- F. Cognition: the activities of thinking, understanding, learning, and remembering
 Attention: concentrating on one part of the environment while ignoring other things
 Executive abilities: cognitive processes involved in maintaining multiple pieces of information in the mind at the same time, reasoning, task flexibility, problem solving, and task planning and execution

Visuospatial abilities: ability relating to visual perception of spatial relationships among objects

G. Orthostatic hypotension: a drop in blood pressure, severe enough to cause symptoms, when changing from sitting to standing position or from lying to sitting position

H. Urinary:

Nocturia: excessive urination at night, defined as more than 2 times overnight

I. Sexual:

Erectile dysfunction: inability of a man to maintain an erection sufficient for satisfying sexual activity

J. Gastrointestinal: relating to the stomach and intestines

Saliva: watery liquid secreted into the mouth by glands, providing lubrication for chewing and swallowing, and aiding digestion

Swallowing: difficulty swallowing including liquids & solids, as well as choking while swallowing **Nausea**: a feeling of sickness with a tendency to vomit

Constipation: infrequent bowel movements (usually less than three bowel movements per week) or difficult passage of stools

K. Sleep and wakefulness:

Insomnia: difficultly falling asleep or staying asleep

Rapid eye movement (REM) sleep: a stage in the normal sleep cycle during which dreams occur and the body undergoes marked changes including rapid eye movement, loss of reflexes, and increased pulse rate and brain activity

L. Pain:

Dystonia: a state of abnormal muscle tone resulting in muscular spasm and abnormal posture **Nocturnal pain**: pain overnight **Orofacial pain**: pain which is felt in the mouth, jaws or face

M. Other:

Olfaction: the action or capacity of smelling

Fatigue (physical): state of excessive physical weariness or exhaustion (after physical exertion), different from sleepiness

Fatigue (mental): state of excessive mental weariness or exhaustion, different from sleepiness